

MINUTES OF MEETING ENTERPRISE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT

The regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the Enterprise Community Development District was held Wednesday, June 14, 2021, at 4:00 p.m. at the District Office, 313 Campus Street, Celebration, Florida 34747.

Present and constituting a quorum were:

Jason Herrick	Chairman
Kimberly Locher	Vice Chairman
Gregg Harkness	Assistant Secretary
Paul Johnson	Assistant Secretary
Henry Thrash	Assistant Secretary

Also present, either in person or communications media technology, were:

Gary L. Moyer	Manager: Moyer Management Group
Angel Montagna	Manager: Inframark
Jennifer Kilinski	Attorney: Hopping Green & Sams
Kathy Leo	Engineer: GAI Consulting
Brenda Burgess	Moyer Management Group
Natasha Cruz Millheim	Inframark, Management Division
Ben Griffin	Inframark, Utility Operations Division
Patrick Henry	Inframark, Utility Operations Division
Russ Simmons	Inframark, Management Division

FIRST ORDER OF BUSINESS

Call to Order and Roll Call

Mr. Herrick called the meeting to order at 4:00 p.m.

Mr. Moyer called the roll and stated a quorum was present for the meeting.

SECOND ORDER OF BUSINESS

Pledge of Allegiance

Mr. Herrick led the *Pledge of Allegiance*.

THIRD ORDER OF BUSINESS

Public Comment Period

There being none, the next order of business followed.

FOURTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Consent Agenda

A. Acceptance of the Minutes of the April 7, 2021, Regular Meeting

Mr. Herrick reviewed the minutes included in the agenda package and available for public review in the District Office during normal business hours, and requested corrections, additions, or deletions.

B. Check Register and Invoices

Mr. Herrick reviewed the check register and invoices included in the agenda package and available for public review in the District Office during normal business hours.

C. Funding Requests #18 and #19 to Mattamy Homes

Mr. Herrick reviewed the funding requests included in the agenda package and available for public review in the District Office during normal business hours.

On MOTION by Mr. Harkness, seconded by Ms. Locher, with all in favor, unanimous approval was given to the consent agenda, as presented.

FIFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

**Presentation of the Fiscal Year 2022
Proposed Budget**

A. Fiscal Year 2022 Budget

Mr. Moyer stated the budget is broken into two categories: the general fund, and the enterprise fund. The general fund pays for the operation and maintenance of the water management system, street lights, sidewalks, boardwalks, and things of that nature. The enterprise fund is for the water, sewer, and reuse utilities system. I made a couple changes that I want to enter into the record. On the general fund, I made two additions. One, in the prior year, we allocated funds for the sheriff's department to patrol portions of the Celebration community. The Celebration CDD pays for 80% of that, and our share is 20%. Based upon what we see this year, it appears the total expenditure will be \$100,000. The reason, in part is, we went from having a full-time dedicated deputy who was assigned to Celebration to using details, which is what we are doing now. We will hire deputies to be onsite in certain locations to do certain things. The problem when we had our own dedicated deputy was, the job description was not very clear. The one thing we wanted the sheriff's department to do in Celebration was traffic patrols to give citations and stop speeding and things of that nature. That was not being done. Giving tickets and citations is at the discretion of each deputy. He does not have to issue one; he can talk with you and give you a warning. We were not having tickets issued, so we went from having a dedicated full-time deputy to hiring individual off-duty deputies to do that for us, which has been much more effective than what we had before.

Mr. Herrick asked that began in fiscal year 2021?

Mr. Moyer stated yes. When the budget was first presented, it did not have that line item. I added \$20,000.

Mr. Harkness stated that is included in the copy we received for Contracts—Sheriff.

Mr. Moyer stated the other item I added was an expense line item for field management. Mr. Simmons and some of his staff had previously been assigned 100% to

Celebration CDD. One of that District's Supervisors mentioned that Mr. Simmons still does work in Enterprise CDD, which he does. The distribution of that is, about 90% of his time is in Celebration CDD, and 10% is in Enterprise CDD. When you look at a fully loaded salary for Mr. Simmons and for Ms. Cruz Millheim and multiply it by 10%, that is another \$20,000, which is shown as an expense for Enterprise and will be a revenue to the Celebration CDD. The previous version was \$153,460, and it should now be \$173,460. The difference is the 10% of time they spend in Enterprise CDD. In order to balance the budget, that money came from Field—Miscellaneous Contingencies. The previous version was \$42,721, and when you remove \$40,000, that line item becomes \$2,721. Even with those changes, you can see the ending fund balance, which is substantial. If something happens and we exceed the budget in our normal operations, we can amend the budget and take some of that fund balance and reallocate it. I do not have any concerns since we have such a healthy fund balance. Next year, Ms. Montagna will be drafting the next budget, and I like to leave roadmaps on how we put these numbers together. On the water/sewer budget, Connection Fees are based on the housing types that are being developed in Island Village Phase 1. I am presuming in fiscal year 2022 that they will absorb about 35% of that inventory. Three hundred apartments will also be coming online, which makes up that total line item of \$865,000. Other than those items, the budgets are in exactly the same format they have been in for some period of time. It is nice because we are solvent, both the utilities and the general fund.

Mr. Harkness stated the water/sewer budget has a line item for Construction in Progress where we did not budget any dollars but spent \$2.4 million.

Mr. Moyer stated that came out of a fund on our balance sheet. We did not budget any dollars for it and probably will not again. We will continue to acquire improvements in Island Village, but that is a balance sheet entry, not an income statement entry. Sometimes those line items get confused between the two reports.

Mr. Herrick asked were the assumptions you made for connection fees based on discussions with the developer, or your history with the project?

Mr. Moyer stated they were based on my history and a general understanding of the real estate market, where we are right now, and the velocity of what is happening in terms of real estate sales. Also Phase 1 does not have many houses. It will have 300 apartments, and maybe about 100 houses, which I think they are certainly capable of building.

Mr. Thrash asked have we collected connection fees from the retailer that is going in at World Drive and Celebration Boulevard? Or is that budgeted for a future date?

Mr. Moyer stated I do not think we have collected those connection fees yet. They are still struggling with South Florida Water Management District and have been delayed.

Mr. Harkness stated at the end of the year, we will need to approve a budget amendment to move those construction dollars. We know it is coming from somewhere else, but we cannot have a budget with a loss at the end of the year.

Mr. Moyer stated yes, that is what I am saying. We have a fund on the balance sheet that originally had \$7 million, and we are using that.

Mr. Harkness stated but in the actual budget, we did not budget anything. We are spending it, so we have to modify the budget at the end of the year.

Mr. Moyer stated we can do that if I can get approval from the accountant to do that. Ultimately, that goes to the auditors, who will come back and advise if we should do it.

Mr. Harkness stated it is out of a separate fund, so it should not come out of the operating budget. We should never show that in the operating budget itself since it is a separate budget.

Mr. Moyer stated that is correct. I will talk with the accountant.

Mr. Harkness stated it looks confusing and appears we are going to lose \$1 million when it is coming from fund balance.

Mr. Johnson stated on the water/sewer budget, operating expenses for Field—Utility Maintenance look like the past couple years have been about \$800,000 per year, but we are budgeting less for next fiscal year.

Mr. Moyer stated that number is hard to forecast because it is for repairing broken water lines and service lines. We spend a fair amount of money replacing water meters so we are not losing revenues as a result of not billing appropriately. The water meter situation will improve since we have had that program in existence for a number of years. We have about 4,000 accounts. In looking at the number of meters we have replaced over ten years, I think we have gone through that whole cycle, so that should improve. You are correct, though, that we may spend more than what is budgeted.

Mr. Harkness stated the numbers show that fiscal year 2017, we spent \$817,000; in fiscal year 2020, we spent \$780,000; and this year we are expecting to spend \$780,000. It seems it is pretty steady at about \$800,000 per year.

Ms. Locher stated a lot of meters were switched out, which will decrease. All those have been hitting the past few years, unless something else is in those actual numbers.

Mr. Moyer stated when you look at the bottom line, we are projecting to make \$1,072,000. To the extent we miss that line item, we still have sufficient funds.

Mr. Herrick stated meter change outs are a separate line item.

Mr. Moyer stated yes.

Mr. Harkness stated I agree, but at the end of next year if it does not seem like maintenance costs are going down, then I think we ought to bump it up because things are wearing out.

Mr. Herrick stated it looks like we decreased the amount for fiscal year 2022, but for this current fiscal year, we are anticipating to spend \$780,000.

Mr. Harkness stated at the end of fiscal year 2022, if it does not look like costs will come in on budget, then we ought to increase it for future years.

Mr. Moyer stated your concern is valid. The good news is, we have two years left on our bond issue. We are spending \$1 million on principal and interest on the bond issue. When that is paid off, then that money is available for operations and maintenance.

Mr. Harkness stated when you approve a budget, you are really approving the bottom line. How much attention does the auditor pay to the individual line items?

Mr. Moyer stated as long as they are generally within budget, they do not pay much attention.

B. Resolution 2021-06, Approving the Budget and Setting the Public Hearing Thereon

Mr. Moyer read Resolution 2021-06 into the record by title.

Mr. Moyer stated Resolution 2021-06 sets the public hearing for adoption of the budget, which we are proposing to be August 18, 2021, at 4:00 p.m. in this location.

On MOTION by Mr. Harkness, seconded by Mr. Thrash, with all in favor, unanimous approval was given to Resolution 2021-06 approving the proposed budget for fiscal year 2022 and setting the public hearing thereon for Wednesday, August 18, 2021, at 4:00 p.m. in the District Office.

SIXTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Business Matters

There being none, the next order of business followed.

SEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Staff Reports

A. District Manager

i. Financial Statements (*April 2021*)

Mr. Moyer reviewed the financial statements included in the agenda package and available for public review in the District Office during normal business hours.

Mr. Moyer stated the general fund is funded through the levy of non-ad valorem assessments, and we have collected virtually all the non-ad valorem assessments through the end of April. Expenditures are \$96,844 under budget through April. The water/sewer fund is under budget for connection fees. We have not collected what we anticipated, but if some of the commercial projects, such as the one at World Drive, come in before the end of the fiscal year, that will fall to that line item. We went through a similar situation last year where we did not collect connection fees until the Delamarre apartments came in and paid \$1.2 million in connection fees, which exceeded our budget. The dollars are hard to predict, as well as the timing. We have already identified that we are over budget on utility maintenance at current actuals of \$455,000. Mr. Harkness pointed out construction in progress, which is the amount we paid to Mattamy Homes for Island Village for acquisition of the distribution, collection, and reuse utility systems for Celebration Boulevard extension.

ii. Report on the Number of Registered Voters (0)

Mr. Moyer stated we are required to enter into the public record annually the number of registered voters in the District. The reason we track this information is, when we have 250 registered voters and the District is six years old, then we transition in the way we elect Supervisors from landowners to registered voters. Since this District is primarily commercial, we may never reach that threshold. The Supervisor of Elections indicated that we have zero registered voters as of April 15, 2021.

Mr. Harkness asked was there one last year?

Mr. Moyer stated there were four.

Mr. Harkness asked where did they go?

Mr. Moyer stated I think they were at Malia, which is a hotel/condominium. They must have moved or somehow are no longer registered to vote.

iii. Consideration of Resolution 2021-07, Extending the Utilities Operation, Maintenance, and Billing Contract

Mr. Moyer read Resolution 2021-07 into the record by title.

Mr. Moyer stated we are at the end of our contract period with Inframark operations. We went through a bidding process, and they were awarded the contract. We renewed the contract as provided, and we are at the end of that extension period. What we have done in the past and what I recommend to you now, since Inframark is also the District manager, we should use Ms. Leo and her firm to put the specifications together and handle the bidding process for this contract so there would be no conflict of interest with Inframark doing that and taking bids and being criticized for doing that. Ms. Leo will do that. Ms. Kilinski put together an extension of the contract to permit us to go through that process.

Ms. Kilinski stated the other reason for this is, we recognize that if we go out for a request for proposals (“RFP”) prior to the deadline, we are bringing on Island Village which would change the scope of services. Rather than going through a change order process or rebidding process in the period of a few months, it made a lot of sense to recommend that we extend the contract for a year and allow us to go through the RFP process to include Island Village. We will then bring those proposals to you in the next six to nine months.

Mr. Herrick stated the existing contract expired May 30, 2021. Today is June 14. What have we been operating under for the past 14 days?

Mr. Moyer stated a month-to-month extension.

Mr. Harkness stated the original contract dated 2016 refers to the District manager, and the contractor at the time was Severn Trent. Did Severn Trent buy Inframark?

Mr. Simmons stated no, Inframark bought Severn Trent.

Mr. Harkness stated in 2016, the contract says the District manager is Mr. Raj Singh. Is that true? Did he work for Severn Trent? Or was Atkins part of Severn Trent? Why is his name here? The address is for Atkins.

Mr. Moyer stated because we used them to put the specifications and bid package together. Rather than going through Inframark or my firm, everything would go through Mr. Singh as an independent third party.

Mr. Harkness stated that makes sense.

On MOTION by Ms. Locher, seconded by Mr. Johnson, with all in favor, unanimous approval was given to Resolution 2021-07 extending the utilities operation, maintenance, and billing contract for one year.
--

Mr. Harkness asked do we need a motion for GAI to go forward with the new bidding process? Or do we need a proposal from GAI to perform that work?

Ms. Kilinski stated we can provide a work authorization under their continuing services agreement to bring back to the Board. Since we have enough time, my recommendation is to bring back a work authorization with a proposed dollar amount that the Board can consider at the next meeting.

Ms. Locher stated we have time to do that.

iv. Reclaimed Water Pipeline Easement Agreement

Ms. Kilinski stated it is my understanding this has been a long time coming. As the Board may recall, we had a heavily negotiated easement agreement with the previous property owner for L7 Construction that is going on now. This is a reclaimed water pipeline that is necessary to be done in fairly short order. Ms. Leo and I have been working with The Celebration Company to negotiate a form and the appropriate route for the pipeline. We do not have the route set in stone yet. We have been working toward that goal and continue to work toward that goal. We understand it may happen between Board meetings, and it is somewhat of a priority for us. We are asking the Board to consider approval of the agreement in substantial form, with authorization for the Chairman to work with legal counsel and the engineer to finalize the appropriate route based on our cooperation and work with The Celebration Company.

Ms. Leo stated by way of background, when we did the line for the Delamarre lift station, we dealt with a lot of private property issues. I think a lot of lessons were learned versus when we were doing new construction and could just move forward. This is for a reclaimed line that is needed for Island Village, not quite at build out, but almost before that. This easement and the reclaimed line go from the terminus of Celebration Avenue into the apartments that Arvida developed in Artisan Park, over to the apartments at Evander Square. It cuts through some wetland areas. We think we have an easement with Celebration CDD we can use, and the connection going through the back behind a pond that The Celebration Company has rights with, closer to the apartments. We are trying to shoe-horn this where we have the rights and ability to do so, and this easements helps toward that end.

Mr. Herrick asked do we have a standard template for easements that we use?

Mr. Moyer stated yes, pretty much.

Ms. Kilinski stated we do, sort of. As Ms. Leo pointed out, the last easement agreement that was negotiated will depend in large part if we have existing easement rights within defined documents that have long been recorded, versus starting from scratch. I would say the last form of easement we used was not a form at all but heavily negotiated among multiple private property owners, and it included a number of considerations that we are building off. We are expecting to receive similar feedback now, so we are trying to cut out some of the time. This is sort of a form, but it is a form based on the fact that we have existing rights in some areas and cooperating parties.

Mr. Johnson asked are we approving the form now, and the actual easements with the descriptions will come later?

Ms. Kilinski stated yes, that is the idea. We do not have the final legal description. We are still working on that. It is also subject to The Celebration Company's inhouse legal counsel's review. We sent it to them about May 26, so they are still working on preliminary comments. To the extent the comments are significantly substantive, we will bring it back to the Board for final approval. If it is in substantially the same form it is now, we would like authorization to get it executed, to the extent we have it finalized.

Ms. Leo stated some of the things we discussed with the other easements that could come up here is hours of construction operation, method and replacement of the work areas, and things of that nature, which Ms. Kilinski and I will need to get into with ownership to make sure those details are squared away. That is what I expect.

Mr. Johnson asked do we think we will need additional easements on some of the private property in the future?

Ms. Leo stated I am not sure yet. I think we will need one additional easement, and I do not know if we have it set up anywhere between Enterprise CDD and Celebration CDD. We might need some easements granted back and forth on the private side, but I am not sure yet. The intent is to not create anymore than we have to.

<p>On MOTION by Mr. Harkness, seconded by Ms. Locher, with all in favor, unanimous approval was given to reclaimed water pipeline easement agreement, in substantial form subject to final review and approval by staff, legal counsel for The Celebration Company, and the District's legal counsel, and authorizing its execution upon said approval.</p>

B. Field Operations

i. Field Management Reports

Mr. Simmons reviewed the field management reports included in the agenda package, which are available for public review in the District Office during normal business hours.

Mr. Simmons stated we continue with our routine work program: checking sidewalks, cleaning fences, and meeting with contractors. We met last month with Mattamy Homes and Jr. Davis on the generator for the lift station in Island Village. That was successful. For those of you who know Mr. Jim Parker, he is back working two days a week to help with Island Village. He is helping Ms. Ashley McGehee, and I understand she is having a baby fairly soon.

Ms. Locher asked what happened to the light pole out front?

Mr. Simmons stated someone hit it, and we have replaced it. We were fortunate that we can bill the insurance company. Occasionally one will get hit but it will not do enough damage to the vehicle, which prevents us from getting a report if they drive off.

Ms. Locher stated the 30 mph speed limit probably will not result in much damage.

Mr. Simmons stated I think they were going faster than that.

Ms. Montagna stated it was a little yellow car.

Mr. Simmons stated I think it was also raining that night.

Ms. Locher stated I happened to see it leaning up against a tree.

Ms. Montagna stated I imagine the insurance company will total the car, but he was not hurt. I asked him how fast he was going, and he was sure it was only 30 mph. It was pushed up a little farther, and he smashed the wall and ended up parallel to the wall.

Mr. Simmons stated we had to rent a lift because the pole is 30 feet tall. Then we have to lift it up quite high to set it six feet in the ground. We continue doing locates. They are bringing the forcemain down to our primary pump site and replacing the forcemain by directional drilling. You will see them tearing up U.S. Hwy 192 shortly.

C. Utility Operations

i. Utility Report *(March and April 2021)*

Mr. Henry reviewed the utility reports included in the agenda package, which are available for public review in the District Office during normal business hours.

Mr. Henry stated I replaced Mr. Jose Rivera, who is no longer with the company. I am the area manager for a couple properties in Central Florida, and I answer to Mr. Griffin. Together, he and I are covering for Mr. Rivera in this area. We have had zero compliance issues with FDEP. All our lift station inspections are ongoing. Lift station 8 is

having some renovations for expansion for the new apartment complex. In the past, we also replaced two pumps which eliminated the previous problems we were having. We repaired a couple leaks in April, one of which resulted in a boil water notice on Water Street. All the tests came back negative, and it lasted about two days. A leak was repaired on Honeysuckle Avenue and one on Damask and Longmeadow. We cleaned the lift stations for the month. We also cleaned it in May, but the reports are only through April. Meter change outs continue.

Mr. Herrick asked do you test them?

Mr. Henry stated no, we replace them. We continue to exercise valves and manholes. The performance metrics show that 28 million gallons of wastewater was pumped from Celebration. The property consumed 87 million gallons of reuse water and 39 million gallons of potable water. Meters are read every month. Revenue collected was nearly \$600 million with some adjustments. We had 362 customer service calls, 8 customer service reconnections, and 87 work orders.

Mr. Herrick asked is this just for April?

Mr. Henry stated yes. I mentioned Mr. Rivera has moved on, and we recently lost another employee, Mr. EZ Rodriguez. We hired a new employee, Mr. Raudi Abreu, and we have moved Ms. Renea McRoberts from customer service to manager.

ii. Consideration of Booster Station PLC and SCADA Software Upgrades

Mr. Henry reviewed the proposal for booster station PLC and SCADA software upgrades in the amount of \$46,010.

Mr. Herrick asked will we be voting on this item today?

Mr. Moyer stated no, I think we need to look at where that money will come from.

Mr. Harkness stated the report also included three proposals for spare pumps and bypass pumps.

Mr. Henry stated all the proposals were provided a couple meetings ago. I can bring everything to the next meeting.

Mr. Harkness stated yes, do that. Also let us know where the funds will come from. I am in favor of having those in stock, but I want to be sure we have covered our bases.

D. Attorney

i. Notice of Fee Increase

Ms. Kilinski stated in reviewing the District's files, our firm has not proposed a fee increase in over ten years. The last one was in 2010 with the effective date of October 1,

2011. Our rates are fairly out of line with current and new client rates. I have reviewed your budget, and this should not affect the legal services line item for next fiscal year, so I do not think it will require any budget adjustments. Right now, we have a flat fee rate for meeting attendance, which generally includes attending the meeting, and reviewing the agenda and minutes. The proposal is to increase that fee from \$1,700 to \$2,000 per meeting. It also includes more preparation than is currently contemplated in that engagement. The new fee will also include routine budget notices and resolutions, routine agreement preparations that are consistent with your budget, and anything that is required to be produced for your budget. We are also increasing the hourly fees. Mr. Jason Walters was the attorney for this District for a number of years, and his billing rate was \$240 per hour. Mine would be increased to \$290. Ms. Sarah Sandy, who is expected to return from maternity leave in the next couple months, would be \$270, and she is expected to handle most of the day-to-day operations for the District. We have 16 full-time attorneys who do nothing but special district representation, and six full-time paralegals who do nothing but special district work. We do try to use the lowest common denominator to draft your documents. To the extent we can standardize things while still providing our fiduciary obligation, we always look to do that. There are not too many things we have not seen. We have represented this District since 1999, so we have a lot of historical information.

Mr. Herrick asked there has been no rate increases for ten years?

Ms. Kilinski stated that is correct. To the extent we have a rate increase, we will not wait another ten years to avoid such a big increase. This is more in line with 2017 rates. Every year, we evaluate our fees based on market conditions and other facts, such as business operations. We test the market frequently. That information is public record, so it is not hard to get what normal fees are. For all the districts where I serve as District counsel, I look at the long-term plan so you are not hit with a \$40- or \$50-per-hour increase but more like \$5 every other year, which feels a lot better to everyone instead of what I am proposing now. We will endeavor to keep them low, and this gets us close to current market rates. I would not anticipate a fee increase for the next three or four years.

Mr. Herrick asked do we have an agreement with your firm where these rates are outlined?

Ms. Kilinski stated yes. Similar to district management contracts, it is a continuing services agreement that can be terminated at any time. We work at the direction of the Board. These fees are an addendum to the ongoing legal counsel services agreement.

Mr. Herrick asked is that the request, to make this an addendum?

Ms. Kilinski stated yes. As I mentioned in the letter, it will not be effective until October 1, and I do not anticipate any increase to the budget.

Mr. Harkness asked should we have an actual “addendum” to the agreement to stipulate this, and approve the addendum? Or should we just approve the letter?

Ms. Kilinski stated you can do it either way, but using the letter is how we usually accomplish this.

Ms. Locher asked we do not need to increase the budget we approved earlier to accommodate that increase?

Ms. Kilinski stated no.

Mr. Harkness stated it is only \$3,600 just for meetings, which is minimal.

On MOTION by Ms. Locher, seconded by Mr. Thrash, with all in favor, unanimous approval was given to the notice of fee increase from Hopping Green & Sams, effective October 1, 2021, as presented.

ii. Owner Direct Purchases for Lift Station Project

Ms. Kilinski stated we have a current contract with L7 Construction to provide construction services that the Board previously approved. The contractor approached me, and I emailed Ms. Leo to discuss resolving this issue of whether or not the District wants to directly purchase various supplies related to the L7 project. The only direct purchase opportunity the contractor has identified so far is a pump that is worth about \$1,400.

Mr. Harkness stated no.

Ms. Kilinski stated my recommendation is also this would not be worth it until you get into higher numbers. We wanted to be sure everyone was comfortable with us making that decision.

Mr. Harkness stated it needs to be more like \$50,000 or \$100,000 before we do that.

Mr. Moyer stated we had some previous conversations about this.

Ms. Leo stated that amount is the tax savings to the District, to be clear. That is not the value of the pump but the savings of sales tax.

E. Engineer

Ms. Leo stated we discussed a little of the Delamarre project with L7, and it is going quite well. We received a schedule from them, and they should be wrapping up the third week of July. They received the pumps and are waiting for other parts for installation associated with the electrical panel as well as DEP clearance to make some switch overs. In general, they are doing well and on pace to handle the Delamarre construction. There was one item we approved out of cycle, and I did not provide it for the agenda. It was the change order for the electrical panel upgrade that was required to be compatible with the new Flygt pumps in the amount of \$14,900. That has been the only change L7 has needed on the project thus far. I can provide the paperwork at the next meeting if it is needed.

Mr. Moyer stated if it is close to being completed, you can do that on a final balancing change order.

Ms. Leo stated they also had a couple other issues, such as breaking an irrigation line that caused some plant material to die. They were quick to repair it. In general, things have gone quite well. On a few other fronts, we performed our annual inspection of the utility system and have a report we will provide to the District before the July 1 deadline. We continue to perform inspections on Island Village utility project with Mattamy Homes. I think we are getting close to the budget we had remaining, and probably in August, I will bring an amendment for that scope of work.

Mr. Harkness asked is that annual report required by the bond covenants?

Mr. Moyer stated yes.

Mr. Harkness stated when we no longer have outstanding bonds, we do not need to do those inspections.

Mr. Moyer stated that is correct. Today, about \$2.8 million is outstanding, but we still have to provide that report pursuant to the bond documents.

F. District Representative

There being no report, the next item followed.

G. Mattamy Homes (Island Village)

There being no report, the next item followed.

EIGHTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Other Business and Supervisor Requests

Mr. Simmons stated we get some of the brass meters back. Does the Board have any problem with us taking them to be recycled and using the proceeds to buy the staff lunch every now and then?

Mr. Harkness asked are they the 5/8-inch household meters?

Mr. Simmons stated yes. The new ones are plastic that we have been installing for a number of years, so we will not have many left.

The Board had no objection and agreed with Mr. Simmons's request.

NINTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Adjournment

The next meeting is scheduled for Wednesday, July 7, 2021, at 4:00 p.m.

On MOTION by Ms. Locher, seconded by Mr. Harkness, with all in favor, the meeting adjourned at 4:55 p.m.

Gary L. Moyer, Secretary

Jason Herrick, Chairman